# Potential GlobSnow SE aggregation product

#### Rune Solberg Norwegian Computing Center





### Outline

- Why an aggregation product?
- Examples from GlobSnow SE
- Aggregation scheme used so far in GlobSnow
- Other aggregation products
- The alternatives
- GlobSnow SE solution?



# What should aggregated products be?







## 15 March 2004, 10 days sliding window







# 8 April 2004, 10 days sliding window







# March 2004, Monthly aggregated product







# Aggregation scheme used here

- Algorithm:
  - Go through the snow map time series data cube pixel by pixel in the time direction
  - For the output, choose the most recent clear sky observation
  - If there are no clear-sky observations for a time row, set output to 'cloud' (or 'water' or 'too dark')
- Pros:
  - The least cloud-covered snow map obtainable for the period
  - The most recent observations are shown
- Cons:
  - No snapshot in time, mixes observations within the aggregation period









# NASA's 8-day snow cover product

- Algorithm:
  - Same as we apply, except that maximum FSC is chosen rather than most recent observation
- Pros:
  - The least cloud-covered snow
    map obtainable for the period
  - Shows a definite observed value (the maximum) rather than a 'random' with respect to observed value
- Cons:
  - No snapshot in time, mixes observations within the aggregation period







# SPOT VEGETATION 10-day composite

#### Algorithm:

- Exact algorithm not known, but a best average (of reflectance) for ten days is made by a combination of observations and estimates
- Pros:
  - Cloud-free 'map'
- Cons:
  - Snow fraction is not retrieved; this is an image product
  - The use of estimated values is questionable concerning climate monitoring







### What are our alternatives?

#### • Which variable to show from the time cube of data:

- The most recent observation?
- The maximum snow extent observed?
- The minimum snow extent observed?
- The average snow extent observed?
- Limitations:
  - Full coverage north of about 30° requires at least ten days of observations
  - Some variability in actual snow cover must be expected within such a time window during periods in the autumn and spring

#### Is supplemental data the solution?

- Number of snow observations in the period per pixel?
- Date of first snow observation in the period?
- Date of last snow observation in the period?
- All dates of actual snow observations?



# The GlobSnow SE solution?

- Which variable to show from the time cube of data:
  - The average snow extent observed
    - Because it is most representative for the period
- Aggregation period:
  - ~10 days (could also be 15 days / 0.5 month):
    - Full coverage north of about 30° requires at least ten days of observations
    - 10 days cover most of the snow-covered part of the northern hemisphere
- Supplemental data:
  - Number of snow observations in the period per pixel
  - Day of first snow observation in the period
  - Day of last snow observation in the period





# Also a monthly product?

- Which variable to show from the time cube of data:
  - The average snow extent observed
    - Because it is most representative for the period
- Aggregation period:
  - 1 month:
    - Full spatial coverage a few times
    - Very little cloud cover
- Supplemental data:
  - Number of snow observations in the period per pixel
  - Day of first snow observation in the period
  - Day of last snow observation in the period



### User opinions?

 What are the actual user needs concerning an aggregated product?



